

Mr. Robert Charles O'Brien

ID: LFG-2019-0019

National Security Advisor

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, D.C 20500

Sent via: Mail¹

October 11th, 2019

Mr. O'Brien,

I was planning on sending Mr. Bolton another letter as to some foreign policy issues that I wanted to bring to his attention. Since he is no longer working for the White House, I am doing so with you.² First, I will discuss the current plans of the White House withdrawing troops from Syria and the current conflict occurring between the Kurdish Fighters in Syria and the government of the Republic of Turkey.³ I will then move on to the White House greenlighting the deployment of United States troops to Saudi Arabia, the Houthi conflict with the government of Saudi Arabia, transforming the government structures of Iran and Libya as well as the need for the government of the United States and the government of the Russian Federation to enter into and to establish a new Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty that all parties will fully and will faithfully agree to and will abide by.

Currently, the White House to my knowledge and understanding is planning on moving more United States troops out of Syria. The White House to my knowledge and understanding has also greenlighted a plan for the Department of Defense (DOD) to allow the government of Turkey to bombard the Northern Part of Syria without known limitations. By allowing the government of Turkey to use its armed forces to bombard the Northern Part of Syria without limitations, the Kurdish Fighters and Kurdish Civilians in that region of the world will not feel as if they are safe from being attacked or harmed in other ways. There have also been credible and legitimate reports that Kurdish civilians that are in that region have been attacked by the armed forces of the government of Turkey. Since it is no secret that Kurdish Fighters and the government of Turkey absolutely despise one another and do conduct military operations against one another, I do believe that peace talks do need to be conducted between representatives of the Kurdish Fighters and representatives of the government of Turkey. As listed in my one of my DOS communications below, I believe that since President Erdoğan is going to be visiting the White House, I do believe that it would be an intelligent foreign policy move for the White House to openly or to secretly reach out to representatives of the Kurdish Fighters, as for them to attend the White House meeting with President Erdoğan of the Republic of Turkey.⁴ The White House

¹ Certified Mail No. 7018 0360 0000 7424 2372; and via mail and electronic mail to Ivanka Trump.

² I have also attached some of my DOS communications in which are my personal opinions.

³ I would also like to add that I am extreme opposition to the United States withdrawing troops from Afghanistan as well. I do believe that the United States needs to deploy more troops to Afghanistan while helping ensure that the Ring Road does not fail.

⁴ In the White House soon to be meeting with President Erdoğan of the Republic of Turkey, I am hoping that their nuclear weapons pursuit as well as a clarification as for their S-400 deal with the Russian Federation will also be discussed.

making that type of move would be a move that would be on the road for the assurance that all parties will be on the same page as to fighting against radical religious extremists, stabilizing Syria and coming up with and entering into a peaceful settlement with one another.⁵ Failure to do so would certainly subject the Kurdish Fighters to harm by and pursuant to old hostilities that have occurred between Kurdish Fighters and the government of Turkey, and non-military objectives, such as civilians, would find themselves being subjected to armed hostilities. Representatives of the Kurdish Fighters and representatives of the government of Turkey need to have peace talks with one another by addressing mutual security concerns that both parties have against the other while working on a plan of action as far as Syria is concerned, as well as addressing a peaceful settlement that needs to be established to ensure peace between those two parties moving forward.

The United States, by and through the White House, has greenlighted a plan as to deploying more United States troops to Saudi Arabia after some of their oil sites were attacked. For some reason Iran was blamed for the attacks even though the Houthi Rebels have been asserted to have taken responsibility for being behind the attacks.⁶ While a lot of people may see the attacks as being a serious threat, I actually do believe that move could help amend the problems that the Houthi Rebels have with the government of Saudi Arabia and I also believe that move by the Houthi Rebels could help ensure that peace talks occur between their representatives as well as with representatives of the government of Saudi Arabia.⁷ Therefore, I am hoping that peace talks occur as with this regard between representatives of the Houthi Rebels and representatives with the government of Saudi Arabia. I do not for a second believe that the United States deploying more troops to Saudi Arabia will help ensure that future attacks stop by the Houthi Rebels against assets of Saudi Arabia in which the United States is dependent on. I also have the same opinion that deploying more United States troops to Saudi Arabia also will not address any concerns that the Houthi Rebels have as they are making political statements. Instead, I am pretty confident that peace talks between the Houthi Rebels and the government of Saudi Arabia will ensure that a peaceful settlement occurs between both of those two parties while helping ensure that not only the conflict and the humanitarian crisis in Yemen improves, but that the assets of Saudi Arabia in which the United States is dependent on will not be put in jeopardy. I believe that having such peace talks, with the lead of the White House, would be an intelligent foreign policy move for the United States to make. The Congress of the United State already wants the United States to stop picking sides in the Yemen conflict due to the humanitarian crisis, so the Congress of the United States would

⁵ I would also like to add that the Kurdish Fighters in Syria cannot effectively fight against radical religious extremists, working to stabilize certain portions of Syria, and while fighting against the armed forces of the government of Turkey. All parties, both the Kurdish Fighters and the government of Turkey, need to be on the same page as to addressing mutual security concerns that the other has against the other. In the peace talks, I believe that it would also be a good thing for the Kurdish Fighters and the government of Turkey to work with one another, for the purpose also as to centralizing and unifying individuals in the Free Syrian Army and the Syrian opposition that was and that is supported by the United States.

⁶ One argument that has been made is that due to Iran backing the Houthi Rebels, the government of Iran is therefore responsible. While that may be somewhat true, it was the Houthi Rebels that were involved in the attacks against Saudi assets in which the United States is extremely dependent on. So, the Houthi Rebels are more responsible for the attacks than the government of Iran is.

⁷ Such peace talks would also help ensure that the humanitarian crisis in Yemen is resolved and that further atrocities that have occurred in Yemen will dissolve. Peace talks will help ensure that Yemen is reformed into a more perfect union while addressing the security concerns of all parties and the humanitarian concerns of all parties as well as those from the international community.

also most likely not be in opposition to the White House hosting peace talks between the Houthi Rebels and the government of Saudi Arabia.

As you already know, the government of Iran shot down an expensive United States drone over what is asserted by the United States to have been over international waters. That action by the government of Iran has angered the White House and the White House considered taking immediate retaliatory action against the government of Iran. The White House almost authorized a military operation against some type of an Iran target as a form of retaliation for shooting down a very expensive United States drone. As listed below in one of my DOS communications, instead of striking Iran as a retaliatory measure, I do believe that an intelligent foreign policy move for the White House would be for the White House to order the re-opening of the Embassy of Iran in Washington D.C. The same as how the government of the United States has done so with the Embassy of Venezuela in Washington D.C, I do believe that the same needs to occur with the Embassy of Iran in Washington D.C. The Embassy of the United States in Iran is being used as propaganda by the government of Iran. Therefore, there is absolutely no reason why the United States should not re-open the Embassy of Iran in the United States in Washington D.C. Such a foreign policy move would be on the road as to helping to transform the government structure of Iran with and at the will of the people. Striking Iran over the expensive drone will only raise panic in the international community. That action would also make the United States look as if it was the aggressor in a case where the government of Iran had not targeted a person, but a replaceable material object. If the White House does order the Embassy of Iran in the United States to be re-opened, the United States does need to stick with that decision and the United States should not abandon that foreign policy decision. Failed stable foreign policy moves of the United States helped ensure that the Monarchy of Iran was overthrown in the Iranian Revolution of 1979 and some radical religious extremists took over. If the United States back in 1979 had made a few tweaks to its foreign policy, the Monarchy of Iran would still be in place. However, Iran could still get some type of constitutional monarchy government structure back with and at the will of the people if United States foreign policy was changed as with this regard.

Libya, a country that has enormous potential, is going through current conflicts.⁸ I absolutely do not believe for a second that the Government of the National Accord is going to work or that it is going to transform Libya into a more perfect union. I also do not understand why the United States continues to support the Government of the National Accord at the present stage. I believe that instead of


⁸ Nation building is something that the United States does need to be involved in and does need to prioritize. With national building, I believe that the United States does an extremely great job at wasting money and resources. So, the conclusion as to addressing that issue would be for the United States to create a new federal agency of the federal government of the United States that specifically has nation building as its main goal. That is because there are many federal agencies that have nation building as a part of somewhat of their goal. But the United States needs an independent federal agency that will specifically address that issue for more organization, structure and as to ensuring that the resources of the United States is serving its rightful purposes. After a new federal agency is created, I believe that certain nation building agendas from different branches of federal agencies of the United States should then go to the new agency of the federal government. The new federal agency that is independent should then selectively choose the parts of the world and the timeframe as to when the nation building agenda of the United States will be worked on and completed for that certain region of the world that the United States chooses to invest in. In my opinion, it is better to work on reforming a small number of countries with national building rather than trying to change a large portions of countries, failing and wasting time and resources in the process of failing at the goal.

supporting the Government of the National Accord at the present stage, I do believe that the United States should work with the Government of the National Accord, with and at the will of the people, as to transforming the government structure of Libya into some type of a constitutional monarchy. As I have also listed in one of my DOS communications below, I do believe that having the government structure of Libya transform into a constitutional monarchy would help ensure that the government of Libya lasts longer and that it is able to accomplish its goals without unnecessary political obstruction. Mr. Khalifa Haftar would bring enormous success to Libya as a whole if he was the leader of Libya as a whole. Mr. Haftar is smart and I do believe that he knows what he is doing and that if he was supported, I am confident that he would be the best pick as for helping to transform Libya into a more perfect union as a whole. That individual I am confident would be able to bring enormous success to Libya as a whole if he was the leader of Libya. So, I am hoping that the United States changes its foreign policy as far as this issue is concerned because there are so much accomplishments that Mr. Haftar would be able to succeed in and at if he was supported.

Lastly and moving on, the government of the United States and the government of the Russian Federation are no longer participants in the effective 1988 Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty. This move by both the United States and the Russian Federation has made individuals in the United States as well as in other countries concerned and worried that a new nuclear arms race is going to occur between the United States and the Russian Federation. I am hoping that a new nuclear arms race will not occur between the United States and the Russian Federation. Such a move by both countries will not ensure that Planet Earth remains or is able to remain habitual or that the activities on Planet Earth will not affect the cosmos.⁹ So, I am hoping that the White House and the Kremlin will be able to work on the establishment and the enforcement of some type of a new nuclear forces treaty. Hopefully in the future, when a new nuclear forces treaty is thought of and when both representatives of both countries meet, a plan of action in which all parties will be able to agree on needs to ensure that nuclear weapon production is slowed down, including but not limited to hypersonic weapons and other dangerous weapons. A new treaty needs to be created and ensured in which all parties will be able to fully and will be able to faithfully agree to be in compliance with. A new nuclear arms race between the United States and the Russian Federation needs to be avoided and can be avoided. Those are just some of my views and opinions as a handsome twenty-four (24) year old.

Respectfully,

Isaiah X. Smith¹⁰

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Isaiah X. Smith". The signature is written in a cursive style with a horizontal line underneath the name.

⁹ The United States have experienced a lot of what is believed to have been extraterrestrial activities over United States military sites and even going so far as to dismantling nuclear weapons weapons, according to experiences by numerous former United States missile site guards and other (former) United States military personnel. So, I have absolutely come to the conclusion that an increase in extraterrestrial activities will always occur, and countries will always see an increase in extraterrestrial activities on Planet Earth, including but not limited to military sites, whenever countries engage in activities that will affect or that may affect Planet Earth's habitual state or whenever our activities on Planet Earth affect the cosmos or has the potential to affect the cosmos. The United States Navy even recently addressed United States Senators on the United States Senate Select Committee on Intelligence with a classified briefing as to numerous reported encounters by United States Navy personnel with unexplained aerial phenomenas (a/k/a unidentified flying objects).

¹⁰ www.isaiahxsmith.com

Re: Government of Turkey beings launching military attacks against the Kurdish Fighters in Syria; Turkey's interest in nuclear weapons

From: "Isaiah X. Smith" <iscampaign@usa.com>

To: ██████████@state.gov

Date: Oct 9, 2019 1:48:21 PM

October 9th, 2019

Mr. ██████████

As I have stated before, I do believe that the government of Turkey as well as the Syrian Kurdish Fighters in Syria need to be somehow forced or even sweet talked into engaging in peace talks with one another. Currently, the government of Turkey through their armed forces are launching military air strikes and military operations in Syria in which appears to be aimed as to getting rid of the Kurdish Rebel Fighters. This absolutely needs to stop! I am absolutely certain that the Kurdish Fighters in Syria, those that are trying to fight off against radical religious extremists, are going to feel betrayed by the United States. This will be bad for the intelligence community of the United States. Other groups that the intelligence community of the United States supports overseas are now going to analyze this and wonder when they are going to be next. This in my opinion is also going to make it harder for intelligence officers, whoever they are, to be able to form agreements with groups overseas as well as confidently ensuring to themselves and to groups that they will be supported by the United States.

Osama Bin Laden (a/k/a Osama bin Mohammed bin Awad bin Laden) used to be pro-American and he used to be considered to be a great ally of the United States. He even helped the United States with some of its goals in the past. But for some reason, he turned against the United States. I personally believe that politics and unstable foreign policy helped contributed to this. If the United States continues to make our allies or allied groups that are supported by the United States feel as if we are betraying them, this is going to result to more and more individuals following in the same footsteps as to Mr. Laden, being pro-American at one point in time and then feeling betrayed by the United States due to politics and turning against the United States. Stable United States foreign policy is needed to prevent future Bin Ladens from turning from being pro-American to feeling betrayed by the United States. In my personal opinion, I do believe that the creation and the establishment of extremist entities can be ensured when politics and unstable foreign policy occur. Extremist entities can be prevented from even forming if the United States sticks with their plans on transforming a particular region of the world and if the United States refuses to turn their backs on our allies or on assisted groups.

Kurdish fighters in Syria should absolutely have a right to be free from harm. They should have a right to live in peace and in harmony. They cannot both fight off the armed forces of Turkey while trying to stop radical religious extremists in Syria. The White House, the DOS as well as the DOD need to come up with an immediate and an urgent plan as to stopping further armed attacks against the Kurdish Fighters in Syria. Attacks on the Kurdish Fighters in Syria needs to stop immediately. The White House, as well as the DOS and the DOD, need to come up with a urgent plan on having peace talks with both the government of Turkey as well as with representatives of the Kurdish Fighters. Both representatives of the Kurdish Fighters and representatives of the government of Turkey need to both address security concerns that have against one another as well as coming up with a plan of action as to moving forward and stabilizing Syria. Doing so would be foreign policy that would work. Since President Erdoğan will soon be visiting the White House, it would be a great idea for the White House, with the DOS and the DOD, to find a way to sneak the Kurdish Representatives in to that meeting for peace talks because trying to stabilize liberated portions of Syria while allies of the United States are fighting against each other is not going to work. President Trump is an extremely good real-estate negotiator and if this foreign policy peace plan came his way, I am sure that he would be able to successfully negotiate some type of a mutual peace plan. I also believe that Ivanka could find a way to relate to the female Kurdish Fighters and their struggles.

Lastly, with the government of Turkey interested in acquiring more nuclear weapons as well as pursuing what could become a nuclear weapons program as for that country, I do hope that White House officials will discuss that with President Erdoğan during their soon to be meeting at the White House. Countries that are close to Turkey may be interested in their nuclear weapons pursuit and countries that the United States may be in opposition to having nuclear weapons may find their countries monitoring the nuclear weapons pursuit of Turkey as well as even copying their nuclear weapons and being able to develop their own nuclear weapons. So, I also believe that the White House needs to address security concerns involving Turkey's nuclear weapons pursuit with them during his soon to be meeting with President Erdoğan at the White House. Nuclear weapons are not going to save Planet Earth or ensure that Planet Earth remains habitual or that the cosmos is not affected. Countries that have nuclear weapons are most likely going to experience some type of extraterrestrial activity over their military sites that possess those types of weapons, such as how military sites of the United States have experienced a lot of that type of activity through UFOs appearing over United States military sites and even going so far as to dismantling those weapons, according to experiences by numerous former United States missile site guards and other (former) United States military personnel.

But anyway, since Mr. Bolton is no longer the United States Nat. Sec. Advisor, I am going to send communication to Mr. O'Brien and to Ivanka regarding this peace plan as for the Kurdish Fighters and the government of Turkey. I believe that this foreign policy move is something that the White House needs to do and that it would absolutely work.

Respectfully,
Isaiah X. Smith

P.O Box 2650
Austin, Texas, 78768
United States of America
<http://www.isaiahxsmith.com/>

Re: White House, DOS and the DOD need to find a way to force the government of Turkey and the representatives of Kurdish Rebels Fighters into having peace talks and the same should also occur with representatives of Israel and Palestine

From: "Isaiah X. Smith" <iscampaign@usa.com>

To: ██████████@state.gov

Date: Aug 12, 2019 1:11:57 PM

August 12th, 2019

Mr. ██████████

With reports of the White House planning on withdrawing more troops from Syria and even on giving Turkey more of a green light to reform Syria, there are serious and legitimate concerns that Kurdish Rebel fighters will be harmed by that foreign policy move. In my personal opinion, I do believe that before the White House withdraws more troops from Syria or gives Turkey more of a green light to reform Syria through the use of their armed forces against military objectives, I do believe that the White House, along with the DOS and the Department of Defense need to be given more of an assurance from the government of Turkey that their armed forces will not attack or engage in war games against Kurdish Rebel Fighters in Syria or outside of Syria. The same should also occur with regard to the Kurdish Rebel Fighters inside and outside of Syria pertaining to the armed forces of Turkey. The government of Turkey and the Kurdish Rebel fighters absolutely hate one another and that is well known. In Syria, Kurdish Rebel Fighters that are fighting for somewhat of the same foreign policy objectives that the White House and the DOD has with regard to Syria do need to be given more than that of a "word assurance" from the government of Turkey in that they will not be harmed. The same should also apply to the government of Turkey from representatives of the Kurdish Rebel Forces in Syria and outside of Syria. Both sides need to be given more than word assurances that they will discontinue fighting against one another and that they will both peacefully work to helping reform that region of the world.

To my knowledge, President Erdogan of the Republic of Turkey has recently warned he would "eliminate" Washington's Kurdish allies in Syria very soon. It is believed that President Erdogan stated that because the government of Turkey believes that the Kurdish Rebel Fighters are a threat to their national security. This is due to their being a decades long insurgency in southern part of Turkey, and due to Kurdish Rebel Fighters and armed forces of Turkey attacking each other. Armed forces of Turkey and Kurdish forces attacking each other inside and outside of Syria is not going to help ensure that Syria or other areas near that region becomes stable or that radical religious extremists vanish in that part of the world. Instead, such fighting is going to complicate the situation in Syria and in other areas near that part of the world even more. If the White House, along with the DOS and the DOD forced both sides to engage in face to face peace talks with one another, preferably in a neutral country, I do believe that representatives of the Kurdish Rebel Fighters inside and outside of Syria need to give head representatives of the government of Turkey serious assurances on resolving conflicts in the southern part of Turkey and addressing the government of Turkey's national security concerns. Representative of Kurdish Rebel Fighters inside of outside of Syria should also address with representatives of the government of Turkey their national security concerns and working on a plan of action as to helping stop radical religious extremists in Syria and in other areas around Syria and working on a plan of action as to helping reform the region mutually and with one another.

Fighting between the government of Turkey and the Kurdish Rebels is getting pretty only now and it does need to stop. Both sides need to stop pointing fingers at one another and I believe that countries, including the United States, need to stop taking sides and should instead put serious pressure on both sides as to having peace talks with one another. Since the United States has stopped all aid to Palestinians in the West Bank and in Gaza, I do believe that it would be a great idea for the United States to use that canceled aid for the purpose as to using those funds for funding peace talks, including but not limited to a neutral meeting location, food that both parties would enjoy and an event of discussion for both sides. Oh, and I also do

believe that the canceled United States aid to the Palestinians in the West Bank and in Gaza would also be a great idea for it to be used to fund peace talks between representatives of the leadership of Palestine and Representatives of Israel because currently tensions between Israel and Palestine are rising currently. Something needs to be done to address the Israel and the Palestine situation because that conflict is currently rising in Israel and at the Gaza border.

For the peace talks that I do believe needs to occur, I do believe that it would be a great idea for the White House to consider inviting in one event representatives of the government of Turkey with representatives of supported Kurdish Rebel Fighters, inside and outside of Syria, to the White House for some type of an official dinner. In another event, I do believe that the White House should also do the same with regard as to representatives of Israel and representatives of Palestine. All of this can be done and I am hoping that those things occur. The White House would be a great place for adversaries that have diplomatic relations with the United States to have peace talks with one another. Official state dinners would also help to resolve disputes because a lot of things can be accomplished once both parties eat and are not hungry.

Have an awesome week!

Respectfully,
Isaiah X. Smith

P.O Box 2650
Austin, Texas, 78768
United States of America
<http://www.isaiahxsmith.com/>

Re: United States should not diplomatically isolate Turkey over their S-400 deal with the Russian Federation and should instead work on an alternative for Turkey's defense goals

From: "Isaiah X. Smith" <iscampaign@usa.com>

To: ██████████@state.gov

Date: Jun 24, 2019 11:43:05 AM

June 24th, 2019

Hi,

It has been asserted that the White House may decide to issue sanctions against the government of Turkey if they decide to purchase the Russian S-400 missile defense systems. The government of Turkey is expected to have the S-400 missile defense system to be delivered as early as July. If the government of Turkey continues to pursue that deal, the White House is asserted to have warned that would trigger United States sanctions and that Turkey would be pulled out of its F-35 jet program deal as a form of retaliation as to that issue. If the United States, by and through the White House, does decide to sanction Turkey, a NATO ally and a country that is an ally to United States in which through time does have the potential as to improving, I do believe that the sanctions would do more harm than good. I also do believe that it would be possible for Turkey to consider abandoning its relationship with the North Atlantic Treaty Organization and even possibly somewhat with the United States, if sanctions do occur as far as this issue is concerned.

I do not believe that it would be appropriate for the White House, with the help of the DOS, to work on sanctions against Turkey, pursuant to the Countering America's Adversaries Through Sanctions Act (CAATSA), over that deal currently. Turkish Defense Minister, Mr. Hulusi Akar, stated in the past that buying the S-400 was only meant to meet Turkey's defense needs and poses no threats. I believe that the White House and the DOS should caution themselves from creating, enacting and enforcing sanctions against Turkey, when their is far more to loose in that over a simple S-400 missile defense deal for defense purposes, according to Turkish Defense Minister Hulusi Akar. Maybe the White House and the DOS should instead propose with the government of Turkey, the sell of some type of missile defense system that is similar to the Russian S-400 missile defense system, to solve that problem. I believe that since the White House and the DOS do not want the government of Turkey to buy the S-400 missile defense systems from the government of the Russian Federation and since the Turkish Minister of Defense has stated that buying the S-400 missile defense systems was only meant to meet Turkey's defense needs and poses no threat, maybe the White House, the DOS and well as NATO countries that have similar defense systems to the S-400, should work with the government of Turkey to ensure that their defense needs are met while making everyone happy.

So and in conclusion, the White House as well as the DOS should, instead of currently sanctioning the government of Turkey pursuant to the Countering America's Adversaries Through Sanctions Act, reach out to the government of Turkey as to addressing their country's defense concerns while offering them an alternative to buying the S-400 missile defense systems from the Russian Federation. Maybe the White House, with the assistance of the DOS, should work on selling a missile defense system that is identical or better than the S-400 missile defense, to resolve that issue and sanction issues, or finding a country that is a part of NATO that has a missile defense system that is identical to or better than the S-400, in which they would be willing to sell to the government of Turkey, for the defense of Turkey. That I am sure will not only help avoid the issuance of sanctions against Turkey, but I believe that it would help improve the diplomatic relationship between the United States and Turkey, while helping ensure that Turkey remains a part of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

Have an awesome week!

Respectfully,
Isaiah X. Smith

P.O Box 2650
Austin, Texas, 78768
United States of America
<http://www.isaiahsmith.com/>

Re: White House, DOS and the DOD need to plan on hosting peace talks between representatives of Israel and Palestine

From: "Isaiah X. Smith" <iscampaign@usa.com>

To: ██████████@state.gov

Date: Sep 12, 2019 8:31:05 PM

September 12th, 2019

Ms. ██████████

With the ongoing conflict going on between the Israel and Palestine, it is of concern about the way in which this conflict is currently moving forward and the way in which this conflict has been addressed. A lot of rockets have been and are being flown into Israel from the Palestine territories and representatives of Palestine have made assertions against representatives with the government of Israel as to the way in which the people of Palestine are asserted to have been treated by officials of Israel. This conflict in my personal opinion is completely ridiculous and absolutely needs to be resolved peacefully and in a way that is respectful, fair and just. I strongly do believe that this conflict is ongoing and is never a ending conflict that can be resolved once foreign policy changes occur. Currently, the United States Congress has voted to approve a \$38 billion dollar defense aid to the State of Israel. See, Ileana Ros-Lehtinen U.S.-Israel Security Assistance Authorization Act of 2018. Now, that piece of legislation will be headed to the White House and I am extremely confident that President Donald J. Trump will sign that bill into law. The soon to be law will give the State of Israel access to sophisticated United States based technology as well as authorizing the President of the United States to give it a one billion dollar-worth of weaponry, as well as with precision-guided munitions to use against the Hezbollah terror group based in Lebanon. That current piece of legislation, in which is going to be signed into law by President Donald J. Trump, will ensure that United States aid will not be stopped so long as the memorandum of understanding comes into effect starting in 2019, ensuring that the funds would not be influenced by the budget wars between Congress and the White House. So now, future Presidents of the United States will not be allowed to suspend United States aid to Israel as a means of pressuring Israel under the legislation. However, I personally do believe that a way in which the White House could get around that is by taking that subject matter to court, per se if a future presidential administration wanted to challenge that. While it is extremely well known that the current Congress of the United States, especially the United States Senate, support the State of Israel, I do have concerns about the effectiveness of the Ileana Ros-Lehtinen U.S. Israel Security Assistance Authorization Act of 2018 becoming law as well as diplomacy related issues as with regard to Israel and Palestine.

Before I get into the ongoing conflict situation between Israel and Palestine, I do believe that the Department of Defense needs to analyze airstrikes made by the State of Israel and potential retaliatory actions that countries that are in opposition to the State of Israel have. The DOD needs to analyze this to help ensure that middle eastern countries will not find a way to engage in military operations against the State of Israel if they are per se in opposition to an airstrike that Israel is involved in. If a middle eastern country does, I am extremely confident that the current United States Senate would attempt to find a way to enter into an armed conflict with that country, on behalf of the United States, if that country attacks the State of Israel. That could also theoretically make allies of the United States become neutral to that conflict and that could also make countries that are known to be allies of the United States not be our allies if that situation occurs. I do believe that the State of Israel needs to be careful when they commit an airstrike due to a lot of middle eastern countries not liking them. The last thing that I do believe that the United States would theoretically need is middle eastern countries teaming up on Israel or wanting to declare war on that country.

As in regarding to the ongoing situation between Israel and Palestine, if I were in the White House I would send communication to representatives of Israel and to Palestine for talks at the White House for an officials state dinner. This in my personal opinion would be an extremely great idea as to addressing mutual concerns between those two different territories. I would also work with both of those countries, mutually, as to coming up with a agreed plan of action as to addressing both concerns of the parties as well as coming up with a plan of action as to stopping violence occurring between those two countries. Pointing fingers against the other

country is not going to transform that conflict situation between Israel and Palestine into a more perfection union. There is already too many fingers being pointed at against each other. Since the White House, along with what I am assuming the Department of Defense (DOD), was planning on having peace talks with the Taliban as well as representatives of the government of Afghanistan at Camp David, since that could be done I also do believe that this can also be done. It would be an extremely good foreign policy move for the White House, with the assistance of the Department of State (DOS) as well as the Department of Defense (DOD), to invite both representatives of Israel and Palestine to the White House for peace talks. Doing so will help ensure that region of the world has less hostilities and that will also help ensure that innocent civilians are not targeted due to hostilities occurring between both of those two governments against each other as well as helping ensure that aggression ceases. This conflict that seems to be never ending can be resolved if this occurs. That I am confident about. But when the peace talks occur in which I do hope that the White House will take the lead as with this regard, I do hope that all parties will engage in talks in some type of neutral atmosphere and in a way that is respectful, fair and justice.

Peace talks between representatives of the government of Israel and the government of Palestine would also be beneficial especially due to Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu stating that if he is re-elected into office that he will annex parts of West Bank. I strongly believe that he does not need to do that and I am hoping that the United States will voice strong opposition as to that. If he does get re-elected into office and if he does do that, violence, riots and a lot of armed conflicts will occur in that region of the world. The United Nations, as well as the European Union, would also voice strong opposition as to that issue and I would not even doubt that the United Nations Security Council would have a session as to that situation if that situation did occur. Middle eastern countries would also be analyzing that situation and that would probably find a way to offer some type of assistance to the government of Palestine if that occurred. The United States would also see our allies not supporting us, especially if the United States showed support to the State of Israel if they supported a "re-elected Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu" in office. The last thing that the United States would want in my personal opinion is middle eastern countries that the United States is working with or that is planning on working with, for the purpose as to helping ensure stability in the middle east, to feel betrayed by the United States and to turn against the United States. Peace talks will help ensure that violence, riots and escalated armed tensions will not keep on occurring in that region of the world and that everyone will be on the same page.

So in conclusion, since the situation between both Israel and Palestine is ongoing and is going to get worse especially if Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu decides to annex parts of West Bank if he's re-elected, and even if he does not, I do believe that White House officials, along with the DOS, should invite senior officials of the government of Israel as well as representatives of the government of Palestine to the White House for peace talks. This can absolutely be accomplished and I am absolutely hoping that this occurs. If the White House does decide to this this, I do believe that this peace talk meeting would be a step in the right direction as for all parties without the parties blaming each other or pointing fingers at each other without having peace talks. This conflict between Israel and Palestine is ongoing and appears to be never ending and violence, armed conflicts as well as an ease to hostilities can occur one both parties meet face to face for peace talks, get on the same page, and engage in those talks that are in a respectful, fair and just way.

Respectfully,
Isaiah X. Smith

P.O Box 2650
Austin, Texas, 78768
United States of America
<http://www.isaiahxsmith.com/>

Re: Instead of the United States deploying a lot of troops to Saudi Arabia, the White House, with the DOS and the DOD, should find a way to fund and engage in peace talks with the Yemeni Houthi Rebels and the government of Saudi Arabia

From: "Isaiah X. Smith" <iscampaign@usa.com>

To: ██████████@state.gov

Date: Sep 22, 2019 5:04:30 PM

September 22nd, 2019

Good Afternoon,

The White House has green lighted the deployment of additional United States troops and air defense assets to Saudi Arabia after some of their oil facilities were attacked. The Houthi rebels have been asserted to have been the perpetrators behind the attacks on the Saudi oil facilities. While all of this is occurring, I do see the light in this whole situation. As I have previously stated my opinion with the DOS Yemen Desk officer on the subject of why I believe that the United States should fund and engage in peace talks with the Yemen Houthi Rebels, I am also going to share my opinion on this issue with you since this conflict is finding a way to the assets of Saudi Arabia in which the United States is dependent on. Since this situation could always escalate but I hope that it does not, I do see so much light in this situation resolving, in which I am hoping that the White House, with the DOS and the DOD, will be involved in. Since the White House has canceled 1.3 billion dollars in aid to Palestine, in which is a lot of money, I do believe that the United States has the financial resources to fund peace talks with the Houthi Rebels in Yemen and the government of Saudi Arabia. Doing so will help ensure that oil prices do not go up while helping ensure that the long and that the never ending armed conflict between the Houthi Rebels and the government of Saudi Arabia in Yemen will halt and will ease.

I would like to clarify the same point that I made with the DOS Yemen Desk Officer, in which I do believe that if the United States does decide to fund peace talks with the Houthi rebels, I do believe that will help ensure peace and stability. Such peace talks will also ensure that the needs and that the concerns of the Houthi rebels are addressed. Overlooking what the Houthi rebels have to say as far as this conflict is concerned and the tensions in that part of the world, I do believe will not help ensure that peace prevails and will further increase tensions in that region of the world. Even if per se the Houthi rebels have or are being fully or partially supported by the government of Iran, I do not believe that the White House or the DOS and the DOD should overlook attempting to and funding peace talks with that group and between the government of Saudi Arabia. Getting on the same page with everyone is another reason why I do believe that the United States needs to fund and ensure that peace talks with the Houthi rebels occurs. Another benefit with everyone being on the same page as far as this conflict is concerned, would ensure that medical supplies, food assistance and other humanitarian assistance would not be diverted from its intended targets in Yemen, since humanitarian assistance has been diverted from its intended targets due to the situation in Yemen. Armed conflicts between Houthi and Saudi troops against one another needs to stop in Yemen as well as attacks on non-legitimate military objectives by all sides. Innocent civilians in Yemen should not have to die due to miscalculations of attacks on non-legitimate military objectives or due to the ongoing and never ending conflict in Yemen. As far as Yemen is concerned, engaging in the peace talks that I do hope occurs in the future, I do hope that as it pertains to innocent civilians, I do believe that it is imperative for governments of countries as well as responsible groups around the world to set policies, practices and procedural safeguards to help ensure that combatants are distinguished from innocent civilians and their families and that their government operations only have the purpose as to targeting military objective. While it may be true that during an armed conflict that some civilians are inevitable when and during an armed conflict occurs, I believe that the Houthi Rebels and the government of Saudi Arabia need to set policies, practices and procedural safeguards to help ensure that combatants are distinguished from innocent civilians and their families and that their operations only have the purpose as to targeting legitimate military objectives as defines under the laws of war is abided by. But

the goal of the peace talks of course would be to help ensure that armed conflicts are ending between the two.

While this whole situation does seem complicated, the less complicated thing to do would be for the United States to fund these types of peace talks. Not only could the humanitarian situation in Yemen be addressed in the peace talks, but I do believe that the easing of hostilities between the Houthi Rebels of Yemen and the government of Saudi Arabia would occur. It does seem as when oil prices go up that wars for some reason are started. This may be an indicator that the United States is too dependent of foreign oil, however I do for sure believe that future armed conflicts between the Houthi Rebels and the government of Saudi Arabia could be avoided once peace talks occur. Since Mr. Trump is an extremely good real estate negotiator and business negotiator before he became the POTUS, and since he was about to have talks with the Taliban at Camp David, I do believe that this would work. Even if the peace talk meeting may at first seem hostile, I do believe that this situation will be able to improve. The Houthi Rebels need to have their voices heard on their concerns about the situation in Yemen and the security and the humanitarian concerns that they have, as well as does the government of Saudi Arabia on protecting their assets, in which the United States is extremely dependent on. This would be a win-win situation for everyone if the White House, with the assistance of the DOS and the DOD, ensure that this peace talk meeting occurred. United States sending more troops to Saudi Arabia will at first make the Saudi oil facilities more secure, however that action by the will not ensure that future coordinated attacks will cease or some type of a peace deal occurring. The peace talks will ensure that hostilities and that armed conflicts will be avoided. I am telling you that I see the light in this and again I strongly do believe that such peace talks would be on the road to ensuring that the concerns of all parties are addressed and that an end to armed hostilities between the Houthi Rebels and the government of Saudi Arabia will be ensured, and that assets in which the United States is dependent on will be protected from harm. But hopefully when the peace talks to occur, all parties must listen to the other side, preferably in some type of a neutral setting, with all points of view being discussed. That I am confident will help ensure that some type of settlement occurs between the Houthi rebels and the government of Saudi Arabia, in which will ensure that the assets of the United States are protected from harm and that the humanitarian crises in Yemen will be addressed and that armed conflicts between the Houthi Rebels and Saudi forces will cease.

Respectfully,
Isaiah X. Smith

P.O Box 2650
Austin, Texas, 78768
United States of America
<http://www.isaiahxsmith.com/>

Re: White House and the DOS need to ensure that the United States funds and engages in peace talks with the Houthi rebels in Yemen

From: "Isaiah X. Smith" <iscampaign@usa.com>
To: ██████████@state.gov
Date: Jun 20, 2019 11:08:58 AM

June 20th, 2019

Hey ██████████

I hope that all is well. Currently, it has been asserted that the Houthi rebels in Yemen attacked a government of Saudi Arabia facility with a cruise missile or some type of explosive device, according to that group's Al Masirah TV channel. This incident is asserted to have recently occurred in the southern province of Jizan. This attack incident was confirmed by the government of Saudi Arabia's military coalition. It has not been reported that anyone was wounded or that there was even damage to the facility. The White House Spokesperson, Sarah Sanders, stated they they "...are closely monitoring the situation and continuing to consult with our partners and allies." Navy Commander Rebecca Rebarich stated that those types of attacks were "a significant cause for concern and [put] innocent lives at risk". Since those attacks are "a significant cause for concern and [put] innocent lives at risk," I do believe that the White House and the DOS needs to ensure that the United States funds and engages in peace talks with the Houthi rebels of Yemen. Since it was reported in the past that the White House was considering labeling Yemen's Houthi rebels as a foreign terrorist organization, I am extremely glad that did not occur because if that did occur, it would be extremely predictable to see more attacks by the Houthi rebels occurring. You stated that the DOS continues to call for a cessation of hostilities and for all parties to support the UN Special Envoy in finding a peaceful solution to the conflict based on the agreed references: the National Dialogue, UNSC Resolution 2216, and the GCC Initiative." That best way for that to occur is again by the White House and the DOS needs to ensure that the United States funds and engages in peace talks with the Houthi rebels of Yemen. A neutral country being the host country for such peace talks to occur may be the best idea because I would love it if the Houthi Rebels leaders and the Saudi-led military coalition leaders sat down face to face for peace talks in a neutral and in a non-hostile environment.

Funding peace talks with the Houthi rebels will help ensure peace and stability. Such peace talks will also ensure that the needs and that the concerns of the Houthi rebels are addressed. Overlooking what the Houthi rebels have to say as far as this conflict is concerned and the tensions in what part of the world will not help ensure that peace prevails and will further increase tensions in that region of the world. Even if per se the Houthi rebels have or are being fully or partially supported by the government of Iran, that should not mean that the White House or the DOS should overlook attempting to and funding peace talks with that group and between the group and the Saudi-led military coalition and any other parties in an armed conflict with one another in that region of the world. Getting on the same page with everyone is another reason why I do believe that the United States needs to fund and ensure that peace talks with the Houthi rebels occurs. Another example of the benefits of getting on the same page as far as this conflict is concerned, would be the Houthi rebels being asserted to having diverted food from those that are in hunger. While that may or may not be true, peace talks with the Houthi rebels would give them a change for them to tell their side of the story as far as those allegations are concerned and it would also allow people to understand the catastrophic humanitarian situation from their point of view because countries pointing fingers at one party, whoever it may be, is not going to ensure that peace is a reality. Without peace talks, this conflict seems to be never ending and further this conflict will have devastating negative consequences on the people. The humanitarian situation will only get worse if peace talks do not occur with the Houthi rebels and with the parties that they are in an armed conflict with. But hopefully when the peace talks to occur, all parties must listen to the other side, their points of view. That I am confident will help ensure that some type of settlement occurs between the Houthi rebels and their adversaries. While I am not taking any sides as far as the conflict in that part of the world is concerned, instead of taking sides, I do believe that the White House and the DOS need to ensure that the United States funds and engages in peace talks with the Houthi rebels of Yemen do occur. Congress is also leaning and mostly wanting the United States involvement in the Yemen armed conflict to end.

Have an awesome week and upcoming weekend!

Respectfully,
Isaiah X. Smith

P.O Box 2650
Austin, Texas, 78768
United States of America
<http://www.isaiahxsmith.com/>

Re: Government of Iran shoots down a United States drone, the White House almost authorized a military strike against Iran, and the best way to transform the government structure of Iran

From: "Isaiah X. Smith" <iscampaign@usa.com>

To: ██████████@state.gov

Date: Jun 21, 2019 11:01:08 AM

June 21st, 2019

Good morning,

As you already know, the government of Iran shot down a United States drone in which the United States drone was asserted to have been above international waters. That United States drone that the government of Iran destroyed is asserted to cost two-hundred and twenty million United States dollars, in which is an expensive lost for the United States. As a retaliatory measure, the White House almost authorized a United States military attack on several Iran sites due to them shooting down a United States drone over international waters. However, it has been asserted that the White House called off the United States military attack due to assertions of the approximate number of people that would have been harmed due to that almost occurring United States military attack. Further, I absolutely predict that tensions would even rise up even more if that White House had authorized an attack, especially if more than one hundred citizens of Iran were harmed. The government of Iran would then retaliate against the United States by doing the same to us and that would certainly cause an "armed conflict" or a "war" to occur. The United States can only officially go to war with another country only by and through Congress. The White House can however enter into an armed conflict with another country for a certain amount of days.

It is extremely well known that the White House and the DOS is in opposition to the current government of Iran and the government structure of Iran. Before the United States goes into a serious armed conflict with Iran or even some type of war, hopefully with cause, the White House and the DOS need to find out who is going to replace the current government structure of Iran. It would make absolutely no sense for the White House to authorize a United States military operation against Iran and for the White House, as well as the DOS, to not have a known successor and that type of succeeding government structure in which the White House and the DOS would want the current government structure of Iran to be replaced by. What I would like to see occur is some type of secular constitutional monarchy being established. Mr. Mohammad Reza Pahlavi, former Shah of Iran, was a part of that type of government structure. I am hoping that the monarchy of Iran will come back in power in Iran and that the monarchy will serve the best interests of the people.

A lot of politicians believe that going to war against another country is easy or that the United States, by and through the White House or the DOS, should only work to ensure that the establishment of a democratic presidential government structure should only be tried. However, some countries are not ready for that type of government structure to occur and some countries in our world would be far better off with having some type of constitutional monarchy government structure, including but not limited to Libya. But as far as Iran is concerned, I would love to see the White House as well as the DOS advocating for Mr. Reza Pahlavi, former Crown Prince of Iran, to become the next Shah of Iran and his children to become the next in line for the constitutional monarchy, and for him to transform that country into a more perfect union. I am confident that is possible and if the White House and the DOS did that, I am confident that would be a victory. Iran used to be a secular country that respected the rights and the freedoms of the people, more than what they do now. Back when the Shah was in power, they did not have religious police that worked to harass and to intimidate the people. When the Shah used to be in power in Iran also, a lot of people do not know this but Iran in the 1900s did have a same-sex marriage that was featured on the television and through news coverage, way before the United States even considered legalizing that, under the rule of Mr. Mohammad Reza Pahlavi, former Shah of Iran. But due to politics and some bad foreign policy from an old White House administration,

the monarchy of Iran sadly lost power. Even though this occurred, I do believe that the White House and the DOS could still win this and that this could still reestablished, with and at the will of the people.

Due to extremely concerning human rights abuses in Iran, and if the United States, by and through the White House and the DOS, were seriously considering to transform Iran with the will of the people, I do believe that the United States should support a transformation of the government structure of Iran with a constitutional monarchy coming back into power. Just as how the White House and the DOS approved Venezuela's Interim President Juan Guaidó's Administration to take over their country's Embassy in Washington D.C, I do believe that the same needs to occur with regard as for Mr. Reza Pahlavi, former Crown Prince of Iran. Having an Embassy of Iran in the United States, headed by a transformed governmental structure as the constitutional monarchy of Iran, and by the United States recognizing that administration as being the only legitimate government structure of Iran would help ensure that an official transformation of the government structure of Iran occurs with individuals that will respect the humanity and the dignity of the people. Plus, a constitutional monarchy that works at the will of the people in Iran, while not working on forcing people to adhere to a certain religious beliefs or following certain religious principles will be an intelligent move to make. So, the White House and the DOS need to fully support and back Mr. Reza Pahlavi as becoming the next Shah of the government of Iran or the head of a constitutional monarchy of the government of Iran, by and through first creating and reopening the Former Embassy of Iran in Washington, D.C and by ensuring that Mr. Reza Pahlavi is treated as if he is the Shah and that he picks an administration over that Embassy in the United States that will serve his interests and that will be recognized by the United States as the official and as the legitimate government structure of Iran, as well as with the help of countries that are known to be allies of the United States or that have the same foreign policy views as with this regard.

Respectfully,
Isaiah X. Smith

P.O Box 2650
Austin, Texas, 78768
United States of America
<http://www.isaiahxsmith.com/>

Re: Libya's government structure needs to transform

From: "Isaiah X. Smith" <iscampaign@usa.com>

To: [REDACTED]@state.gov

Date: Oct 8, 2018 3:56:02 PM

October 8th, 2018

Re: Libya's government structure needs to transform

Hey Major [REDACTED]

With everything that is going on in Libya and for the purpose as to helping ensure that Libya as a whole is stabilized, I believe that the government structure of Libya needs to transform. Libya is a country that is located in the northern part of the African continent as you already know. Currently, Libya is officially known as the State of Libya, and the country has a government that is known as the "Government of the National Accord." The Government of the National Accord is currently supported by the government of the United States and by other governments of countries that are a part of the international community, for stability and for security purposes in Libya. With this support however, for the current government structure of Libya, problems of stability and security concerns are prevalent across Libya. There have been and there are currently a large number of military attacks that have taken place across Libya, war crimes being committed, crimes against humanity being committed, genocide being committed, and slavery occurring in Libya. Even though a lot of atrocities have occurred and are occurring in Libya, the Libyan Government of the National Accord is not stable enough to address or to prosecute the perpetrators of serious violations of international law, in which has occurred and in which is occurring, due to the limited resources that the Libyan "Government of the National Accord" has available to them, as well as due to its current government structure.

For stability, peace and for security purposes, I am hoping, and I am wanting the government structure of Libya to transform into a constitutional monarchy. I believe that this will be the best way for the international community to ensure that the government of Libya will last longer, and that the government of Libya will be more effective than the current government of Libya. I believe that the formation and the establishment of some type of constitutional monarchy in Libya, will help ensure that the funds and that the resources that governments of countries that are seeking to help improve Libya, will actually go toward all of its intended goals. Once a constitutional monarchy is established in Libya, I strongly believe that the funds and that the resources that governments of countries send to the government of Libya, that is centered around a constitutional monarchy, will in my opinion be better used and will not be wasted, and that the government of Libya as structured around the constitutional monarchy, will help ensure that the government of Libya is effective and that it accomplishes the goals that the government of Libya wants to be involved in. Once a constitutional monarchy is established in Libya, I believe that the armed forces of Libya and the economy of Libya will improve. Once Libya's economy has improved, people that live in Libya will have something to live for. Once people have something to live for in Libya, extremist entities will decrease in size and the people who live in isolated parts of Libya, will no longer have to rely on forced protection or receiving humanitarian assistance from extremist groups.

I do not believe that the government of the United States relying on using drones in Libya will help ensure the peace process in Libya. I also believe that the same is also true as with regard to other countries that the government of the United States operates drones in. While it may be true that using drones in foreign countries, against legitimate military objectives, may save money, I do not believe that is the best way to prevent the expansion of extremist entities or to use those tools to help stabilize a country. I believe that the best way to help fight against extremist groups, such as ISIS, ISIL or even per se the Taliban, and to help stabilize a country, is by the government of the United States and by other governments that are a part of the international community, making investments in education, job development, job stability, affordable housing, and transportation for individuals to get and to keep those things. This needs to be done with Libya. But to ensure that Libya will be successful and will have a thriving economic status, Libya's government structure needs to transform into a constitutional monarchy. Have an awesome week!

Respectfully,
Isaiah X. Smith

P.O Box 2650
Austin, Texas, 78768
United States of America
<http://www.isaiahxsmith.com/>

Re: General Khalifa Haftar in Libya needs to be supported by the United States, et al

From: "Isaiah X. Smith" <iscampaign@usa.com>

To: ██████████@state.gov

Date: Apr 18, 2019 2:27:21 PM

April 18th, 2019

Ms. ██████████

Due to the current situation in Libya, I thought that I should share some unique views that I have on Libya. It is of my sincere hope that the United States and that the rest of the international community will support "General" Khalifa Haftar in Libya because doing so I strongly believe will help transform Libya into a more perfect union. For some time now I have always wanted the government structure of Libya to transform, preferably into some type of constitutional monarchy government structure and a government structure that is one and that is not divided. Currently in Libya, there is currently an extremely divided and an unstable government structure system that I do not believe is on the right road to success. Currently, the "Government of the National Accord" is backed by the United Nations and is also backed by multiple countries in my world, while Mr. Khalifa Haftar is backed by other parties. I have to respectfully disagree with countries that are a part of the international community that currently back the "Government of the National Accord" and I also disagree with the United Nations as an international body for backing the current "Government of the National Accord." I currently do not believe that in the best interests of and for the best interests of Libya as well as the international community, that the continued support of the current "Government of the National Accord" is the right foreign policy move. The best foreign policy move that the international community can make currently is by supporting Mr. Khalifa Haftar because Libya right now needs a strong leader who knows how to do his job.

Some individuals in the world believe that having a foreign policy goal of transforming every single country into a "democratic system" that is identical to that of a developed country is going to work from a foreign policy standpoint. I respectfully disagree. Some countries need a strong leader in order for that country to transform into a more perfect union, with respect as to the people and at the will of the people. Someone who will stand up for the people and someone who will stabilize their country as a whole. While I am not at all saying that the power of the government should not be of, by and for the people, what I am saying is that some countries need a strong person to lead their countries. As far as Libya is concerned, it is extremely fictional to believe that the current government structure of Libya is going to be successful, due to how divided it is. The current "Government of the National Accord" is not as strong as how it should be and it is currently destined to fail. The current "Government of the National Accord" is not going to be the type of government structure of and in Libya that will transform Libya into a more perfect union and that will find a way to unify Libya.

I am extremely confident that if countries that are a part of the international community and even if the United Nations supported and backed "General" Khalifa Haftar and his stabilization efforts as far as Libya is concerned, I am extremely confident that Libya would be able to reform into a more perfect union with respect as to the people and at the will of the people. Countries and even international organizations that want to use a "democratic stabilization foreign policy" that is identical to developed countries for Libya right now is not going to work. Currently under the "Government of the National Accord," slavery and a ton of other human rights violations are occurring. Extremist organizations are on the rise in Libya and it does not appear as if a majority of countries in the world or even the United Nations knows how to stabilize Libya. It also does not appear as if they are making the right moves as to stabilizing Libya. So, I am hoping that countries in my world will change their foreign policies with respect to Libya, and that they will start supporting Mr. Khalifa Haftar and his stabilization efforts as far as Libya is concerned. Seeking to establish a "democratic government structure" that is identical to developed countries in war zones or in countries that are going through what Libya is going through is not going to currently work. However, I am extremely confident that what would work as far as transforming Libya into a more perfect union is the international support of "General" Khalifa Haftar and his plans for stabilization for Libya as a whole and his plans on establishing a one system

government structure. But when he does aspire to do so, he should highly consider transforming the government structure in Libya to some type of constitutional monarchy more some other type of government structure that is identical to that, with respect to the people and at the will of the people in Libya.

Lastly, I would like to say that I am hoping that the United States would not consider to list "General" Khalifa Haftar or forces that are loyal to "General" Khalifa Haftar as a part of a Foreign Terrorist Organization (FTO) because I do not believe that would be a smart foreign policy move to make. Doing so would cause more conflicts in Libya and that would absolutely sour up the relationship between the United States and Mr. Haftar's team in Libya. I am extremely confident that "General" Haftar has the best interests for Libya and I am confident that he would be successful in transforming Libya into a more perfect union. If the United States does not support him, I am extremely confident that other countries in the world that have some type of interests in Libya would see the light in ensuring that their country's supported Mr. Khalifa Haftar in Libya. The White House and the DOS needs to change their foreign policy as far as this issue is concerned in my personal opinion.

Have an awesome week! :)

Respectfully,
Isaiah X. Smith

P.O Box 2650
Austin, Texas, 78768
United States of America
<http://www.isaiahxsmith.com/>

Re: White House and DOS need to create a new Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty with the government of the Russian Federation

From: "Isaiah X. Smith" <iscampaign@usa.com>

To: ██████████@state.gov

Date: Jun 24, 2019 10:48:53 AM

June 24th, 2019

Good morning,

Since the White House as well as the DOS decided to withdraw from the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty between the United States and the Russian Federation, I am hoping that Washington and Moscow will put aside their differences and work on the establishment and the enforcement of some type of new Nuclear Forces Treaty in which both parties, both the United States and the Russian Federation, will agree to abide by. Mr. John Bolton, United States National Security Advisor, was in extremely opposition to the treaty, most likely due to assertion that the government of the Russian Federation was violating the terms and the conditions of the treaty while it was assumed that the United States was solely the only country being in compliance with the treaty. One of the core reasons why the White House has asserted to have withdrawn from the treaty was due to the fact that officials with the United States have accused the government of the Russian Federation of violating the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty for more than a decade and that the government of the Russian Federation was involved in deception about their weapons building, engaged in patterns of violations of that significant treaty, and that they used propaganda to cloud that situation from reality. Critics of the United States and the Russian Federation withdrawing from that treaty do believe that now the government of the Russian Federation will be allowed to speed up their process of building medium-range nuclear weapons.

Currently, Russian Deputy Foreign Minister Sergei Ryabkov believes that the Russian Federation should brace for the worst scenario due to the possible deployment of United States missiles on the ground near the borders of the Russian Federation. That individual believes that if that occurs in the future, that activity may lead to a crisis that is or that was identical to the old Caribbean one. According to his speech at the Russian Federation's Council, as they deliberated on a bill with the subject on the suspension of the Russian Federation's elimination of intermediate and shorter range missiles, he is asserted to have stated: "As far as the US plans are concerned, the White House, the Pentagon, and NATO's international secretariat keep telling us the US and the alliance have no plans or intentions to deploy future systems of this range on the ground in Europe," Ryabkov said. "The current events, in particular, those involving the Russia-NATO Founding Act, which declares that the alliance has no plans, intentions or reasons to deploy considerable forces near Russian borders in the territories of newly-admitted NATO members, indicate that all this can be easily changed."....."We should brace for the worst scenario. There are no plans, but the Mk-41 launchers are a reality," Ryabkov said. "NATO's intentions have been aggressive all along and they remain so. If it comes to the real deployment of such systems on the ground, the situation will not just get worse, but aggravate to the maximum and we may find ourselves in the situation of a missile crisis pretty close to the Caribbean one." See <https://tass.com/defense/1065262>

Just because two different countries do not like each other for whatever reason should not mean that diplomacy occurring between both of those countries does not occur. Especially given what is at stake with some working on building medium-range nuclear weapons, I do believe that the White House and that the DOS need to create and to establish some type of new "INF" Treaty with the government of the Russian Federation, in which such terms and conditions will not be violated and will be mutually agreed to. I would also like to point out that it has been asserted that Mr. Bolton, United States National Security Advisor, is and has been a long-time critic of arms control and has argued consistently for getting the United States out of the web of treaties that he says deny it the freedom to provide for our own defense. But in my personal opinion, the establishment and the enforcement of some treaties are needed to help ensure that peace prevails in the world. Even though Washington and Moscow often compete against one another for whatever reason that it may be, I believe that it would be a really good thing for both officials of both countries, the United States and

the Russian Federation, to put aside their differences and to work on the establishment enforcement of a some type of new nuclear arms-control treaty that both sides do believe is necessary for the purpose as to helping ensure that peace is a reality. Just because Washington and Moscow compete, are in competition with one another or dislike each others foreign policy moves, should not mean that nuclear forces treaties will not be considered.

So in conclusion, I am also hoping that both the United States and the Russian Federation will avoid entering into a new nuclear arms race with one another. Once nuclear testing occurs in any country and once a nuclear bomb goes off in that country, that activity I strongly do believe has an affect on the cosmos. I am not exactly sure how, but I am confident that is correct in my personal opinion. Back in the day when nuclear testing occurred, countries that were experimenting with nuclear weapons saw an increase in extraterrestrial UFO activities over their military sites and across their countries. Therefore, I have absolutely come to the conclusion that an increase in extraterrestrial UFO activities will always occur, and countries will always see an increase in extraterrestrial UFO activities on Planet Earth, whenever countries engage in activities that will affect or that may affect Planet Earth's habitual state or whenever our activities on Planet Earth affect the cosmos or has the potential to affect the cosmos. I am satisfied with my conclusion. Before humans on Planet Earth want to or attempt to engage in full space exploration and conquest, I believe that it would be more appropriate for countries to first work on establishing peace here on Planet Earth.

Respectfully,

Isaiah X. Smith

P.O Box 2650
Austin, Texas, 78768
United States of America
<http://www.isaiahxsmith.com/>